

## Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

### Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

#### Section A: Overview

1. **Date of Submission:** 2011-01-19

2. **Agency:** 011

3. **Bureau:** 10

4. **Name of this Investment:** FBI Guardian (Formerly FTTS)

5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI):** 011-10-01-02-01-3373-00

6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?:** Mixed Life Cycle

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?** FY2007

8.

- a. **Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.**

The Guardian Program, which includes the Guardian and eGuardian (G/eG) applications, is a national program designed to protect and defend our nation's citizens against terrorism, by recording and sharing threat-related, suspicious activity reports (SARs). The advent of global terror networks in the 21st Century spurred the creation of several new systems to collect SARs and threat information among the intelligence community (IC) and law enforcement (LE). While useful, many of these systems are not connected to an enterprise network and are unavailable to LE in outside jurisdictions. The capability to deliver systems that provide true interagency, cross-jurisdictional interoperability has been slow to materialize, creating a counterterrorism deficit. Terrorists routinely exploit these jurisdictional boundaries to avoid detection and prosecution. It is crucial that information about the possible terrorist activities be made available to LE in all jurisdictions. The G/eG Program is filling this critical gap. Guardian is the FBI's classified threat management and SARs system, and has grown to be a sizeable threat-tracking system with over 148,000 counterterrorism assessments. It is available to all FBI locations, Joint Terrorist Task Forces (JTTFs), Fusion Centers, and other government agencies (OGA) located in shared facilities. The mandate expressed in the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act, other statutes, Executive Orders (EO), and the National Strategy for Combating Terrorism (to share terrorism information with LE), motivated the FBI to create an unclassified version of Guardian (or eGuardian), which now contains 5,000 incidents. This system provides participating partners with a SAR system, accessible via Law Enforcement Online. G/eG facilitate situational awareness regarding potential terrorism threats and SARs. Sharing information within this program framework helps eliminate the jurisdictional and bureaucratic impediments that delay communication and dissemination of information that may potentially affect the nation's security posture. By using G/eG, the United States LE community enjoys a previously unrealized degree of connectivity regarding the collection and sharing of SARs and threat reporting, plus in the future, the regular push-out of unclassified SARs from the FBI. This represents the required execution for EO13388, Further Strengthening the Sharing of Terrorist Information to Protect Americans.

- b. **Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the investment including links to GAO and IG reports.**

Title	Link
The Federal Bureau of Investigation's Terrorist Threat and Suspicious Incident Tracking System	<a href="http://www.justice.gov/oig/reports/FBI/a0902/final.pdf">http://www.justice.gov/oig/reports/FBI/a0902/final.pdf</a>

9.

- a. **Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment.**  
2007-01-29
- b. **Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter.** 2008-07-18

10. Contact information?

- a. **Program/Project Manager Name:** \*  
**Phone Number:** \*  
**Email:** \*
- b. **Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner):** Gerald J. Rogero,  
Unit Chief  
**Phone Number:** \*  
**Email:** \*

**11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA):** Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

## Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding  
(In millions of dollars)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

**Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding**  
**(In millions of dollars)**

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

2. Insert the number of years covered in the column “PY-1 and earlier”: 6

3. Insert the number of years covered in the column “BY+4 and beyond”: \*

4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President’s Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

\*

## Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded	1549	<a href="#">DJFA0G002028</a>	GS35F0717N		*	*	\$30.5	Firm Fixed Price	N	2010-01-28	2010-09-27	Y	0200 Guardian program

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

\*

3.

- a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow \*
- b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 \*
- c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements \*
- d. If "yes," enter the date of approval? \*
- e. Is the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? \*
- f. Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? \*
- g. If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

\*

## Part II: IT Capital Investments

### Section A: General

1.
  - a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. yes
  - b. If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education.
2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. DOJ will include cloud computing (CC) capabilities in its infrastructure when they are mature enough to support DOJ missions and security requirements. Its CC strategy will define a service approach for implementing CC capabilities across DOJ.
3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan 2010-08-19
4.
  - a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
  - b. If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).
5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. 2009-05-15
6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2010-08-19

## Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Source Selection for eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$0.8	\$0.8	2006-02-15	2006-02-15	2007-02-14	2007-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
O & M Support including bug fixes and patches for eGuardian 2.0	SS	*	*	*	2014-01-01	*	2014-12-31	*	*	*
Implement and Integrate Guardian 3.0	DME	*	\$2.2	\$1.1	2011-01-01	2011-01-01	2011-12-31		50.00%	50.00%
O & M Support including bug fixes and patches for Guardian 2.0	SS	*	\$5.3	\$5.3	2009-02-15	2009-02-15	2010-02-14	2010-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
O & M Support including bug fixes and patches for Guardian 2.0	SS	*	\$1.2	\$1.2	2007-02-15	2007-02-15	2008-02-14	2008-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
Initial Planning for eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.4	2006-06-01	2006-06-01	2007-05-31	2007-05-31	100.00%	100.00%
Requirements Development for Guardian 3.0	DME	*	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-02-15	2009-02-15	2010-02-15	2010-02-15	100.00%	100.00%
Concept exploration for Guardian 2.5	DME	*	\$1.0	\$1.0	2008-01-01	2008-01-01	2008-12-31	2008-12-31	100.00%	100.00%
Design eGuardian 2.0	DME	*	\$1.7	\$0.0	2011-09-01		2012-08-31		0.00%	0.00%
Technology Refresh and O & M Support for Guardian 2.0	SS	*	\$2.5	\$2.5	2008-02-15	2008-02-15	2009-02-14	2009-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
Provide O & M Support including	SS	*	\$1.4	\$1.4	2009-01-01	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	2009-12-31	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
bug fixes and patches for eGuardian 1.0										
Provide O & M Support for eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2008-02-15	2008-02-14	2009-02-14	2009-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
Acquisition Planning for eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.2	2006-01-05	2006-01-05	2007-01-04	2007-01-04	100.00%	100.00%
Provide O & M Support for Guardian 3.0	SS	*	*	*	2012-01-01	*	2012-12-31	*	*	*
Begin Design for Guardian 3.0	DME	*	\$1.6	\$1.6	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Acquisition Planning for Guardian 3.0	DME	*	\$0.5	\$0.5	2009-04-01	2009-04-01	2010-03-31	2010-03-31	100.00%	100.00%
Complete Integration for Guardian 2.0	DME	*	\$5.0	\$5.0	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Acquisition Planning for eGuardian 2.0	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.2	2010-02-15	2010-02-15	2011-02-14	2011-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
Technology Refresh and O & M Support for eGuardian 1.0	SS	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2009-01-01	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	2009-12-31	100.00%	100.00%
Implement and Integrate eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$0.9	\$0.9	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Requirements Development for Guardian 4.0	DME	*	*	*	2013-04-01	*	2014-03-31	*	*	*
Provide Training for Guardian 3.0	DME	*	\$1.1	\$0.2	2011-05-01	2011-05-01	2012-04-30		17.00%	17.00%
Source Selection	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.2	2009-07-01	2009-07-01	2010-06-30	2010-06-30	100.00%	100.00%



Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
for Guardian 3.0										
Provide Training for Guardian 4.0	DME	*	*	*	2014-09-01	*	2015-08-31	*	*	*
Source Selection for eGuardian 2.0	DME	*	\$0.1	\$0.1	2010-07-01	2010-07-01	2011-06-30		91.00%	91.00%
Requirements Development for eGuardian 2.0	DME	*	\$0.1	\$0.1	2010-02-01	2010-02-01	2011-01-31	2011-01-31	100.00%	100.00%
Initial Planning for eGuardian 2.0	DME	*	\$0.7	\$0.7	2009-02-15	2009-02-14	2010-02-14	2010-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
Concept Exploration for eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.4	2006-02-15	2006-02-15	2007-02-14	2007-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
Design Guardian 4.0	DME	*	*	*	2013-05-01	*	2014-04-30	*	*	*
O & M Support including bug fixes and patches for eGuardian 2.0	SS	*	*	*	2015-01-01	*	2015-12-31	*	*	*
Begin O & M Support for eGuardian 2.0	SS	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2013-09-30	*	*	*
Provide Training for eGuardian 2.0	SS	*	*	*	2012-09-01	*	2013-08-31	*	*	*
Implement and Integrate eGuardian 2.0	DME	*	*	*	2012-07-01	*	2013-06-30	*	*	*
Begin O & M Support for Guardian 2.0	SS	*	\$3.6	\$3.6	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Provide Training for eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$0.5	\$0.5	2008-01-01	2008-01-01	2008-12-31	2008-12-31	100.00%	100.00%
Provide O & M Support including bug fixes and	SS	*	*	*	2013-01-01	*	2013-12-31	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
patches for Guardian 3.0										
Complete Design for Guardian 3.0	DME	*	\$1.0	\$1.0	2010-02-15	2010-02-15	2011-02-14	2011-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
O & M Support including bug fixes and patches for Guardian 2.0	SS	*	\$3.2	\$3.2	2010-02-15	2010-02-15	2011-02-14	2011-02-14	100.00%	100.00%
Begin O & M support for Guardian 4.0	SS	*	*	*	2015-01-01	*	2015-12-31	*	*	*
Develop and Test eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$1.1	\$1.1	2007-08-02	2007-08-02	2008-08-01	2008-08-01	100.00%	100.00%
Design eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$1.0	\$1.0	2007-02-01	2007-02-01	2008-01-31	2008-01-31	100.00%	100.00%
Requirements Development for eGuardian 1.0	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.2	2006-07-01	2006-07-01	2007-06-30	2007-06-30	100.00%	100.00%
Concept Exploration for Guardian 4.0	DME	*	*	*	2013-02-01	*	2014-01-31	*	*	*
Technology Refresh and O & M Support for Guardian 3.0	SS	*	*	*	2013-07-01	*	2014-06-30	*	*	*
Implement and integrate Guardian 4.0	DME	*	*	*	2014-07-01	*	2015-06-30	*	*	*
Develop and Test eGuardian 2.0	DME	*	*	*	2012-05-01	*	2013-04-30	*	*	*
Concept Exploration for eGuardian 2.0	DME	*	\$0.4	\$0.4	2009-02-01	2009-02-01	2010-01-31	2010-01-31	100.00%	100.00%
Develop and Test Guardian 4.0	DME	*	*	*	2014-01-01	*	2014-12-31	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Migrate Data and Users from Guardian 2.0 to Guardian 3.0	DME	*	\$2.0	\$0.7	2011-02-15	2011-03-01	2012-02-14		33.00%	33.00%
Develop and Test Guardian 3.0	DME	*	\$2.0	\$1.0	2010-11-01	2011-02-01	2011-10-31		50.00%	50.00%
Initial Planning for Guardian 3.0	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.3	2009-01-01	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	2009-12-31	100.00%	100.00%
Initial Planning for Guardian 4.0	DME	*	*	*	2013-03-01	*	2014-02-28	*	*	*
O & M Support including bug fixes and patches for Guardian 4.0	SS	*	*	*	2016-01-01	*	2016-12-31	*	*	*
Technology Refresh and O & M Support for Guardian 4.0	SS	*	*	*	2016-01-01	*	2016-12-31	*	*	*
O & M Support including bug fixes and patches for eGuardian 2.0	SS	*	*	*	2016-01-01	*	2016-12-31	*	*	*

2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion.

3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis.  
2010-06-01

4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation?  
no



Section C: Financial Management Systems

Table II.C.1: Financial Management Systems			
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding
*	*	*	*

Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only)

Table II.D.1. Customer Table:	
Customer Agency	Joint exhibit approval date
NONE	

Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers		
Shared Service Provider (Agency)	Shared Service Asset Title	Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011)
*	*	*

Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions):							
Partner Agency	Partner exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2012)	CY Monetary Contribution	CY “In-Kind” Contribution	CY Fee-for-Service	BY Monetary Contribution	BY “In-Kind” Contribution	BY Fee-for-Service
NONE							

Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	Current UPI	Date of the System Retirement
*	*	*

## Section E: Performance Information

Table I.E.1a. Performance Metric Attributes

Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)
Customer Results	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Percentage of Field Investigations (Full or Preliminary) opened based on Guardian/eGuardian entries.	annual	Investigations Opened	Up	20%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	10%	New Measure Baseline Established	Met	2010-10-29
Customer Results	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Percentage of Field Investigations (Full or Preliminary) opened based on Guardian/eGuardian entries.	annual	Investigations Opened	Up	30%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	5% Improvement	35%	Met	2010-10-29
Customer Results	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Percentage of Field Investigations (Full or Preliminary) opened based on Guardian/eGuardian entries.	annual	Investigations Opened	up	35%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	35% Maintain	35%	Met	2010-10-29

Customer Results	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Percentage of Field Investigations (Full or Preliminary) opened based on Guardian/eGuardian entries.	annual	Investigations Opened	Up	35%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	35% Maintain	38%	Met	2011-02-15
			2011	35% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2012	5% Improvement	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
Customer Results	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Percentage of Field Investigations (Full or Preliminary) opened based on Guardian/eGuardian entries.	annual	Investigations Opened	Up	40%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	40% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2014	40% Maintain	New Measure Baseline Established	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2015	40% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Analysis and Production	Percentage of Guardian / eGuardian incidents that led to investigations.	annual	Investigations Opened	Up	30%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated



			2008	10% Improvement	40%	Met	2010-10-29
Processes and Activities	Productivity	Percentage of Guardian/eGuardian incidents analyzed as a result of Guardian/eGuardian entries.	annual	Incidents Analyzed	Up	80%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	10% Improvement	90%	Met	2010-10-29
Processes and Activities	Productivity	Percentage of Guardian/eGuardian incidents analyzed as a result of Guardian/eGuardian entries.	annual	Queries	Maintain	90%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	90% Maintain	90%	Met	2010-10-29
Processes and Activities	Productivity	Percentage of Guardian/eGuardian incidents analyzed as a result of Guardian/eGuardian entries.	annual	Incidents Analyzed	Maintain	90%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	90% Maintain	90%	Met	2010-10-29
			2010	90% Maintain	90%	Met	2011-02-15

			2011	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2012	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2013	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2014	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2015	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Analysis and Production	Percentage of Guardian/eGuardian incidents that led to investigations.	annual	Investigations Opened	Up	20%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	10% Improvement	New Measure Baseline Established	Met	2010-10-29
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Analysis and Production	Percentage of Guardian/eGuardian incidents that led to investigations.	annual	Investigations Opened	Up	40%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	10% Improvement	50%	Met	2010-10-29
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Analysis and Production	Percentage of Guardian/eGuardian incidents that led to investigations.	annual	Investigations Opened	Up	50%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target	Last Updated

Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Analysis and Production	Percentage of Guardian/eGuardian incidents that led to investigations.				"Met" or "Not Met"	
			2010	50% Maintain	50%	Met	2011-02-15
			2011	50% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2012	50% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2013	5% Improvement	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			annual	Investigations Opened	Up	55%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Processes and Activities	Productivity	Percentage of Guardian/eGuardian queries performed to determine suspicious incidents possibly relating to the detection or location of terrorists or terrorist threats.	2014	55% Improvement	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2015	55% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			annual	Queries	Up	75%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	15% Improvement	New Measure Baseline Established	Met	2010-10-29
			annual	Incidents Analyzed	Maintain	90%	2007-01-01

queries performed to determine suspicious incidents possibly relating to the detection or location of terrorists or terrorist threats.

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2008	90% Maintain	90%	Met	2010-10-29

Processes and Activities	Productivity	Percentage of Guardian/eGuardian queries performed to determine suspicious incidents possibly relating to the detection or location of terrorists or terrorist threats.	annual	Queries	Maintain	90%	2007-01-01
--------------------------	--------------	---	--------	---------	----------	-----	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2009	90% Maintain	90%	Met	2010-10-29

2010	90% Maintain	90%	Met	2011-02-15
------	--------------	-----	-----	------------

2011	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
------	--------------	-----	---------	------------

2012	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
------	--------------	-----	---------	------------

2013	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
------	--------------	-----	---------	------------

2014	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
------	--------------	-----	---------	------------

Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Percentage of records received from Intelligence Community and OGAs including	annual	Incidents Received from LE Partners	Up	10%	2007-01-01
------------	------------------------------	---	--------	-------------------------------------	----	-----	------------

state, local and tribal LE partners.

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2007	10% Improvement	New Measure Baseline Established	Met	2010-10-29

Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Percentage of records received from Intelligence Community and OGAs including state, local and tribal LE partners.	annual	Incidents Received from LE Partners	Up	20%	2007-01-01
------------	------------------------------	--	--------	-------------------------------------	----	-----	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2008	10% Improvement	30%	Met	2010-10-29

Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Percentage of records received from Intelligence Community and OGAs including state, local and tribal LE partners.	annual	Incidents Received from LE Partners	Up	30%	2007-01-01
------------	------------------------------	--	--------	-------------------------------------	----	-----	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2009	10% Improvement	99%	Met	2010-10-29

Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Percentage of records received from Intelligence Community and OGAs including state, local and tribal LE partners.	annual	Incidents Received from LE Partners	Up	40%	2007-01-01
------------	------------------------------	--	--------	-------------------------------------	----	-----	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2010	10% Improvement	125%	Met	2011-02-15

Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Percentage of records received from Intelligence Community and OGAs including state, local and tribal LE partners.	annual	Incidents Received from LE Partners	Up	50%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	50% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2012	50% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2013	50% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2014	5% Improvement	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Percentage of records received from Intelligence Community and OGAs including state, local and tribal LE partners.	annual	Incidents Received from LE Partners	Up	55%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	55% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Analysis and Production	Percentage of subjects with a nexus to terrorism identified through Guardian/eGuardian entries.	annual	Subjects Identified	Maintain	90%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	90% Maintain	90%	Met	2010-10-29

			2010	90% Maintain	90%	Met	2011-02-15
			2011	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2012	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2013	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2014	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
			2015	90% Maintain	TBD	Not Due	2010-10-29
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Analysis and Production	Percentage of subjects with a nexus to terrorism identified through Guardian/eGuardian queries.	annual	Subjects Identified	Up	75%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	15% Improvement	New Measure Baseline Established	Met	2010-10-29
Mission and Business Results	Intelligence Analysis and Production	Percentage of subjects with a nexus to terrorism identified through Guardian/eGuardian queries.	annual	Subjects Identified	Maintain	90%	2007-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	90% Maintain	90%	Met	2010-10-29

\* - Indicates data is redacted.